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Electronic Regional Anaesthesia Database: analysis on the quality of documentation of peripheral nerve blocks and the potential for a Welsh Regional Anaesthesia Network
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Abstract

INTRODUCTION

We examined the effect of the introduction of an Electronic Regional Anaesthesia Database (ERAD) on the quality of documentation for peripheral nerve blocks (PNBs) at our hospital.

METHODS

We retrospectively analysed the anaesthetic charts of 100 patients who had received a PNB, 50 before and 50 after the database’s inauguration. We compared the details recorded against a checklist of key desirable features1,2,3.

RESULTS

Our analysis shows that documentation of all of the key elements of a peripheral nerve block in our checklist improved following the introduction of an ERAD.
DISCUSSION

The ERAD was introduced in February 2018. Clinicians log in to a Microsoft Access Database from a computer in theatre and enter the details of the PNB. Once complete, a sticky label containing details of the block is printed and attached to the patient’s anaesthetic chart.

The results demonstrate a large improvement in the completeness of the anaesthetic procedure record following the introduction of an ERAD. This is of little surprise as the database prompts the clinician for each key element. The record will not save and print if it is not fully complete.

It was not possible to locate the anaesthetic chart of one patient in the “before” group. The electronic database is saved on the local intranet and maintained to local health board governance standards.
We highlight some of the other advantages of an ERAD (figure 1).

We are exploring the potential of the database to be shared across local health boards in South Wales.

References


COMPARING RESISTANCE TO WATER FLOW BETWEEN TWO SPINAL NEEDLES

Tam Al-Ani

NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde, Glasgow, United Kingdom

Abstract

Introduction: This study compares resistance to water flow between Vygon Whitacre® 25G x 90mm and Pajunk Sprotte® NRFit 25G x 90mm spinal needles.

Methods: Fifty-five ward nurses who have never used these needles before were recruited to use both needles in a simulated practice. Each needle was primed with water then attached to a 5 ml syringe containing 2ml water. Using the same hand, each nurse was asked to aspirate 1 ml from a glass filled with 10ml water and then injects 3ml under the water in the same glass. Unlimited attempts were permitted until they were able to determine if there is a difference in resistance between the two needles or not. The following data were recorded: 1) The needle with the lowest resistance to aspiration and injection 2) The number of aspiration and injection attempts. Participants were not aware which needle is the newly introduced spinal needle.

Results The majority of the participants felt that there is less resistance to aspirate and inject when using the Vygon Whitacre® compared with the Pajunk Sprotte® NRFit needle. The majority of participants were able to determine the difference in resistance in one attempt (72% of participants for aspiration and 74% for injection). The maximum number of attempts was three.
Discussion: Practitioners who have recently switched to the use of Pajunk Sprotte® NRFit 25Gx 90mm from Vygon Whitacre® 25G x 90mm spinal needle need to be aware of the higher resistance to flow on aspiration and injection.
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Learning Points From the Creation of a Regional Anaesthetic Infusion Chart

James Fullick, Anthony Byford-Brooks, David Burckett-St-Laurent
Royal Gwent Hospital, Newport, United Kingdom

Abstract

Introduction:
Regional anaesthesia is a flourishing sub-speciality which has extensive research investigating potential advantages for a variety of operations. There remains a requirement to ensure clear and concise records of procedures undertaken along with support for those administering care to these patients. As regional blocks and local anaesthetic infusions remain a relatively novel form of analgesia and anaesthesia many medical staff feel less confident in their use. This project explores the challenges surrounding designing a new proforma allowing clear and simple documentation of regional anaesthetic infusions along with simplifying record keeping, aftercare and troubleshooting.

Methods:
Focusing initially on what key information the chart needed to portray our team set out to create a colour-coded, clearly formatted document which followed similar design to other anaesthetic charts in the department. Multidisciplinary discussions ensured widespread satisfaction and ease of use.

Discussion:
The long-term aim of this project was to supply a chart which would not only provide a clear, concise record of regional blocks and patient progress but also be suitable for use by non-regional specialists and juniors. Ultimately this document will allow patients who are receiving regional anaesthesia infusions to be cared for at trusts who would otherwise have been unable to manage them. The outsourcing of these patients to less acute trusts will be invaluable in ensuring acute beds are available for emergency or high dependency patients. By ensuring clear records, concise guidelines and jargon-free management these charts potentially save space, time and money while maintaining patient safety and satisfaction.
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A retrospective audit of anaesthetic technique for anterior cruciate ligament repair and it's affect on the post operative period

Peter Thomas, Ravi Nair
Mid Essex Hospital NHS Trust, Broomfield, United Kingdom

Abstract

Introduction
We present a 60 patient retrospective audit of anterior cruciate ligament repair, comparing anaesthetic technique with post-operative experience.

Methods
Retrospective analysis of notes was performed. Data collected included anaesthetic technique, incidence of post-operative nausea, pain scores, analgesics required, time spent in theatre recovery and unplanned admissions. Ethics approval not required.

Results
Three groups of anaesthetic technique were identified. A) General anaesthetic (G.A) plus adductor canal block (n=28), B) G.A plus local anaesthetic (n=15) (L.A) infiltration by surgeon at the start of procedure, and C) G.A + L.A infiltration by surgeon at end of procedure (n=17). Group A mainly (26/28) received 20mls ropivicaine 0.375, (2/28) receivin g 30mls 0.5% bupivicaine. Group B received Marcaine with adrenaline whilst Group C received Xylocaine with adrenaline. Post-operative analgesics were converted into oramorph equivalents. See table below for additional results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intra-Op Analgesia</th>
<th>Recovery Analgesia</th>
<th>Day unit analgesia</th>
<th>Patients requiring antiemetics in recovery</th>
<th>Patients on day unit requiring antiemetics</th>
<th>Median recovery pain score</th>
<th>Median day unit pain score</th>
<th>Time in recovery</th>
<th>Unplanned admissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A (n=28)</td>
<td>108mcg fentanyl</td>
<td>77mcg fentanyl</td>
<td>17mg oramorph</td>
<td>n=1</td>
<td>n=1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>172 mins</td>
<td>n=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B (n=15)</td>
<td>123mcg fentanyl + 5mg morphine</td>
<td>36mcg fentanyl + 10mg oramorph</td>
<td>16mg oramorph</td>
<td>n=0</td>
<td>n=0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>167 mins</td>
<td>n=0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C (n=17)</td>
<td>105mcg fentanyl + 7mg morphine</td>
<td>32mcg fentanyl</td>
<td>5.4mg oramorph</td>
<td>n=0</td>
<td>n=0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>156 mins</td>
<td>n=0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Despite the higher intra-operative opioid requirements in the non-adductor canal block, there was a lower post-operative analgesic requirement in these patients. Group B had slightly higher intra-operative and post-operative analgesic requirements than the other two groups and group A had the longest time spent in recovery, although the differences were small. From the results of this study, one technique cannot be recommended above another.
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Regional anaesthesia for awake sub-pectoral implantable cardiac device insertion: a case report

Thomas Wojcikiewicz, Amit Pawa, Madhvi Vaghela
Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom

Abstract

Introduction

A 70 year old gentleman required the sub-pectoral re-insertion his cardiac-resynchronisation therapy defibrillator (CRT-D). His medical history included severe heart failure and severe COPD. He weighed 50 kg with a BMI 16kgm².

The lead in his device was defective and the defibrillator had displaced from its subcutaneous position.

We describe a unique general anaesthesia-free, regional technique for the procedure.

Case

Ultrasound-guided regional anaesthesia was achieved with:

· Thoracic paravertebral with 5mL 1% lignocaine plus 5mL 0.25% Levobupivacaine using an 18G SonoTap needle.

· Pecs 1 block with 5mL 1% lignocaine plus 5mL 0.25% Levobupivacaine with an 80mm B-Braun needle.

· Serratus anterior block with 7.5ml 1% lignocaine with adrenaline (1:10000) plus 10mL 0.25% Levobupivacaine with an 80mm B-Braun needle.

Sedation was achieved 1mg Midazolam and Remifentanil TCI, effect-site concentration 0.5 to 0.7ngmL⁻¹. No intra-operative analgesia was required. The incision site was infraclavicular, in the mid-clavicular line.

Discussion

Implantable defibrillators and resynchronisation therapy is recommended in patients with heart failure and a prolonged QRS complex [1].

Insertion is typically in an infraclavicular subcutaneous pocket. In lean patients this can lead to skin necrosis or device slippage [2]. Different regional anaesthetic techniques for pacemaker
insertion have been described including suprACLavicular nerve, interscalene brachial plexus and cervical plexus blockade [3][4].

Sub-pectoral implantation suits lean patients and has been performed under general and local anaesthesia infiltration alone [2][5]. At our institution, they are performed under general anaesthesia. This is the first case of device insertion under a combined paravertebral and myofascial plane blocks technique.
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A novel training level targeted, operation focused, deanery approved, regional anaesthesia teaching course

Thomas Miller¹, Karim Mukhtar², Tushar Dixit²

¹HENW, Mersey, United Kingdom. ²St Helens and Knowsley Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Whiston, United Kingdom

Abstract

Introduction

A plethora of regional anaesthesia courses exist regionally, nationally and internationally. They tend to focus toward beginners new to the speciality or self-directed enthusiasts. We sought to launch a new course specifically for intermediate trainees who may not only have an interest in the topic but also a requirement to fulfil RCoA training objectives.

Methods

We took account of the RCoA curriculum and considered which operations an intermediate trainee may face that would benefit from regional anaesthesia rather than simply dictating specific blocks to learn. Pre-course questions were sent to engage candidates in considering which blocks would be appropriate for which operations prior to the course. Through four stations covering upper limb, lower limb, trunk and abdomen and neuraxial and paravertebral these topics were explored in a practical fashion, with maximal candidate scanning time.

Results
Global score for course overall:

14 responses
Discussion

The course was extremely well received by candidates, all of whom left much more confident in performing regional anaesthesia relevant to their level of training and experience. We believe that adopting an operation or incisional based approach to teaching regional anaesthesia offers greater applicable knowledge than didactically teaching specific blocks. Within our region there are no courses specifically designed toward trainees embarking on increasing amounts of solo work, in and out of hours, who wish not only to be able to offer patients appropriate regional anaesthesia options but also to satisfy the Royal College training requirements. This course has satisfied this requirement, the cost to the deanery; £10 per candidate.

Supported by Mindray.
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A retrospective analyses of anaesthetic technique for total knee replacement and it's affect on the post-operative period

Peter Thomas, Ravi Nair
Mid Essex Hospital NHS Trust, Broomfield, United Kingdom

Abstract

Introduction
We present an audit of total knee replacement, comparing anaesthetic technique with post-operative outcomes.

Methods
60 notes were retrospectively analysed. Data collected included anaesthetic technique, post-operative nausea incidence, pain scores, analgesic required, time spent in theatre recovery, time to mobilisation and hospital length of stay.

Results
Five groups of anaesthetic technique were identified; Spinal plus adductor canal block (n=25), Spinal plus femoral block plus knee capsule infiltration (n=3), Spinal plus knee capsule infiltration (n=4), Failed spinal plus any block (n=6), Spinal without block (n=32). A sixth sub-group (n=32), combining spinal plus any block was analysed. See table and graph below, displaying results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anaesthetic Technique</th>
<th>Intra-op Analgesia (morphine equivalents)</th>
<th>Oramorph in recovery</th>
<th>Nausea in recovery (n=)</th>
<th>Nausea on ward</th>
<th>Time in recovery</th>
<th>Max pain in recovery</th>
<th>Mean time to 1st mobilisation of 1st walk</th>
<th>Mean time of 1st walk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spinal + Adductor Canal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1/25</td>
<td>7/25</td>
<td>50 mins</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10 a.m Day 1 6 p.m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal + Femoral Block + Knee Infiltration</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.30 a.m Day 1 6 p.m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal + L.I.A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>2/4</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.30 a.m Day 1 Midnight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed spinal + any block</td>
<td>8.6mg</td>
<td>12mg</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.30 a.m Day 2 3a.m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal, no block</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/13</td>
<td>0/13</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12 a.m Day 2 7a.m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal + any block</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0/32</td>
<td>0/32</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.30 a.m Day 1 7 p.m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

There was minimal nausea in recovery or wards. Failed spinal resulted in prolonged time spent in recovery and delayed mobilisation. Those receiving any regional block plus spinal left recovery quickly and walked on day 1 compared to those receiving spinal without additional block not walking until day 2. Comparing regional techniques, adductor canal block resulted in fastest mobilisation, walking and days to discharge. Pain scores and analgesic requirements were similar. Results demonstrate the importance of additional regional anaesthesia vs spinal alone.
Development of an Ultrasound Phantom for Teaching the Erector Spinae Plane Block

Jonathan Fortune\textsuperscript{1,2}, Caveh Madjdpour\textsuperscript{1}

\textsuperscript{1}Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, Cramlington, United Kingdom. \textsuperscript{2}The Northern School Of Anaesthesia & Intensive Care Medicine, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom

Abstract

Introduction

The Erector Spinae Plane (ESP) block produces anaesthesia of the thoracic nerve roots. Its low risk profile, and relative ease in block skill acquisition make it an attractive option in the regional anaesthetic management of thoracic pain, especially in rib fracture. However, in our institution, full 24/7 service provision is lacking due to staff unfamiliarity with the sonoanatomy, and inexperience in block performance. To enable more widespread skills in ESP blocks, we created an education programme consisting of an e-learning module, and a homemade gel phantom to practice needle placement.

Methods

For phantom construction, a model thoracic spine and ribs were first sealed in silicone. Muscle layers were created from a gel wax base with variable proportions of paraffin wax and psyllium husk, and then wrapped in cling film and placed over the spine. An interactive e-learning module was created covering the pathophysiology of rib fractures and the anatomy, and sonoanatomy, of the ESP block.

Results

A cost effective, realistic ultrasound phantom was created to enable identification of muscle layers of the back, transition from rib to transverse process, and to practice real-time needle placement for the ESP block (figure 1). This practical learning is consolidated with an e-learning module (figure 2).
Figure 1: Scanning the phantom, and the views achieved
Discussion

We plan to commence a pilot programme for feedback and evaluation. We then intend to use this education package to enable more clinicians to gain confidence and skills in performing ESP blocks in our institution, achieving more timely and effective pain management in rib fracture.
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Regional analgesia in the clumsy parturient: Combined popliteal and adductor canal block to facilitate reduction of a fractured ankle

Dave Robinson
Royal Stoke Hospital, Stoke on Trent, United Kingdom

Abstract

Introduction

Accidental injury occurs in 6% of all pregnancies and accounts for between 17 – 39% of all peripartum Emergency Department visits. A large proportion of this trauma is due to slips and falls because of increased joint laxity and weight gain shifting the centre of gravity [1].

Around 2% of all pregnant women will require non-obstetric surgery, carrying an increased anaesthetic risk, especially of aspiration and cardiorespiratory volatility [2].

Case

A 34-year-old 78Kg fit and well primigravida woman with a gestation of 18 weeks presented to the Emergency Department following a fall at home. She sustained a fracture-dislocation of her distal left tibia and fibular which required reduction and stabilisation.

In order to avoid procedural sedation, as well as the humanitarian aspect of good analgesia, we provided a regional peripheral nerve block in the Emergency Department.

Following 50mcg of fentanyl for positioning, using ultrasound guidance, we injected 20ml of 0.375% levobupivacaine around the common peroneal and tibial nerves at the popliteal crease, and a further 20ml of 0.375% levobupivacaine around the femoral nerve branches in the distal sub-sartorial compartment.

After 45 minutes, adequate reduction and stabilisation was achieved with a small amount of Entonox for anxiolysis.

Discussion

Early peripheral nerve blocks provide excellent site-specific analgesia, are free from major side effects, and avoid the need for regular opiates.
In this context, it allows avoidance of procedural sedation and the associated increased risk to the parturient, as well as improving patient outcomes, efficiency and cost effectiveness throughout the hospital stay [3].
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Interscalene blocks for elective shoulder surgery to improve service efficiency

Sundeep Govind, Mustafa Hamza, Agilan Kaliappan
Basildon Hospital, Basildon, United Kingdom

Abstract

Introduction

Elective shoulder arthroscopy and decompression is performed on a weekly basis at an Essex DGH with 4-5 patients per list. It is typically performed under general anaesthetic or general anaesthetic and interscalene block.

This project was established to evaluate the value of performing the interscalene block and if it improves patient care and theatre productivity.

Methods

The project was registered with the clinical effectiveness unit.
Cases were selected from the 5th October 2017 to the 5th October 2018.
Cases were divided into general anaesthetic (GA) only and general anaesthetic and interscalene block (GA + ISB).
Anaesthetic charts and recovery notes were analysed. Opiate use intra op, opiate use post-op, antiemetic use and time in recovery were noted. Opiates were converted into mg of morphine to aid analysis.

Results

Mean age of the GA group 56, mean age of GA + ISB 60.
Mean time in recovery for GA group 106 minutes, mean time in recovery for GA + ISB group 60 minutes. Students t test run giving a p-value of 0.043, 95% CI for difference between means of 19.6 to 72.19 minutes.
Intra-op opiate use for GA group 16.9mg of morphine for GA + ISB 17.1. p-value 0.92.
Post-op opiate use for GA group 7.58mg, GA + ISB 0.48mg. p-value<0.001, 95% CI 4.4 to 9.6.

Discussion

Results demonstrate that ISB reduces post op opiate requirement and time in recovery.

Following discussion at department meeting it was decided elective shoulder lists would be anaesthetised by anaesthetists able to perform ISB.
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Improving Patient follow up - From Books to Bytes

Simone Misquita, Hannah Rose, Sean McHale

Western Sussex Hospitals Trust - St. Richard’s Hospital, Chichester, United Kingdom

Abstract

INTRODUCTION –

Regional anaesthesia blocks are performed frequently in our department. However there is no standardized follow up of these patients. Generally paper records were maintained in a file, however due to logistical and time constraints the rate of follow up was inadequate.

The aim of our quality improvement project was to create an electronic database to store information on regional anaesthesia blocks performed, unify and replace the paper based system that we use, provide a standardized method of documentation, follow up patients, detection of complications and as a logbook for clinicians in the department.

METHODS –

We created an electronic database using Microsoft Access 2010.

Information governance approval was obtained. Patient confidentiality was maintained using a password. Patient consented to enter their details on the database.

Two main tables were designed and integrated on one form for ease of use.
DISCUSSION –

Having designed and used this database in its pilot phase several advantages were noted some of which are:

1. Improved patient follow up, as patient details are easily accessed remotely than a paper based filing system

2. Standardised procedure documentation.

3. Improved quality assurance and outcomes due to patient feedback received


6. Logbooks can be extracted from the database
7. Helps organizing data in a logical method
8. Queries and reports organize data for easy retrieval and analysis
9. Revalidation and audit tool
10. Maybe useful in a nationwide registry such as AURORA.
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2. www.anaesthesiaregistry.org
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Lumbar Epidural versus Nerve blocks plus Catheter Analgesia for Lower Limb Amputations – A prospective review

Suzanne Coulter¹, Ross Cruickshank², Martin Clark¹

¹Royal Bournemouth and Christchurch Hospitals, Bournemouth, United Kingdom. ²Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust, Salisbury, United Kingdom

Abstract

BACKGROUND AND AIMS

Acute pain is a considerable problem for patients undergoing amputation. Debate continues as to whether pre-emptive epidural analgesia is superior to other techniques. We describe our experience of two separate techniques for managing acute pain following above (AKA) and below knee amputation (BKA).

METHODS

Prospective review June 2017 - June 2018. Subjects were adults undergoing amputation predominantly for peripheral vascular disease.

Patients received either lumbar epidural 24hours pre-operatively or Femoral nerve block (AKA) or Popliteal + Adductor canal block (BKA) followed by insertion of a distal sciatic nerve catheter directly by the surgeon in all blocked patients.

Pain scores recorded from post-operative days 1 to 4, total systemic opiate consumption and time to mobilisation into chair.

RESULTS

69 patients total. 36 epidural and 31 blocks/nerve catheter.

Pain scores revealed trend for BKA to be more painful than AKA regardless of technique (see diagram 1.). Furthermore patients with epidurals demonstrated slightly better pain scores, particularly for BKA (Average pain scores 3.3 versus 4.9).

Diagram 1. Average pain scores.

Catheter patients received 63mg morphine for AKA and 60mg for BKA. Patients with epidurals received no opiates as epidural infusions contained 1mcg/ml fentanyl.

There was no significant difference in time to mobilisation. 6 patients (16%) in the epidural group and 6 patients (19%) in the catheter group mobilised to chair by 48h.
DISCUSSION

In our experience an epidural technique confers superior analgesia, particularly in the BKA population who as a group appear to present a greater challenge in providing effective analgesia.
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Improving multi-disciplinary confidence in assisting with regional anaesthesia outside of theatres

James Fullick, Anthony Byford-Brooks, David Burckett-St-Laurent
Royal Gwent Hospital, Newport, United Kingdom

Abstract

Introduction
The use of sole regional anaesthesia in surgery or acute pain is becoming more common, however those explicitly trained and skilled in its use remains a small portion of the anaesthetic workforce. In the ever-increasing demand for NHS services theatre efficiency is constantly under scrutiny(1) however patient safety remains the highest priority. Our project aimed to analyse and improve safety and confidence in assistance with regional anaesthesia outside theatres.

Methods
Initial nursing staff confidence in key safety areas in managing patients who had undergone regional anaesthesia was assessed via a questionnaire. Following this targeted training and reassessment sessions were held along with a poster specifically reinforcing key management points for display in areas designated as “regional anaesthesia” spaces.

Results
Initial results demonstrated significant knowledge gaps, with less than 50% of staff reporting feeling confident at all in 3 of 6 key safety areas. Following training all of these areas demonstrated improved confidence with 100% of staff feeling confident or very confident in 5 of the 6 safety areas.

Discussion
Regional anaesthesia remains a relatively new subspecialty and even more novel is the use of designed ‘block rooms’. These spaces potentially offer the opportunity within smaller hospitals for a single regional specialist to vastly increase theatre efficiency along with acute pain services. This however relies on trained and confident nursing staff who are able to manage these patients. Our project clearly demonstrated an improvement in staff competence and confidence with a long-lasting aid memoire ensuring permanence of change.

References
1. Ilfeld BM, Liguori GA. Regional anesthesia “block rooms”: should they be universal? Look to Goldilocks (and her 3 bears) for the answer. Regional anesthesia and pain medicine. 2017 Sep 1;42(5):551-3.
Audit of ultrasound guided pecto-intercostal fascial block analgesia post cardiac surgery

McAlary Brian Og, Jonathan Little, Jijun Joseph

Royal Victoria Hospital, Belfast, United Kingdom

Abstract

Introduction

Recently there has been a move towards ‘fast track’ cardiac surgery, aiming for early extubation and mobilisation. To achieve this, lower doses of opioids must be used potentially increasing pain. In our unit, pecto-intercostal fascial blocks (PIFB) are being used by several anaesthetists to improve analgesia but the outcomes are unknown, this audit investigated the intervention.

Methods

Between December 2018 to February 2019 we examined the records of patients who were suitable for ‘fast-track.’ We looked at the incidence of complications, time to extubation, the use of anti-emetics, post-operative & total morphine consumption over 24 hours and patient satisfaction.

Results

We collected the data of 60 patients (PIFB n =30, no regional technique n=30). There were no complications reported in the regional group. Morphine consumption, time to extubation and anti-emetic use were all reduced in the regional group. Patient satisfaction was comparable between both groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PIFB</th>
<th>No regional technique</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Post-operative morphine consumption in 24 hours [mg (SD)]</td>
<td>14.4 (±9.6)</td>
<td>20.3 (±10.3)</td>
<td>30 % reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Total Morphine Consumption including theatre [mg (SD)]</td>
<td>17.8 (±10.7)</td>
<td>27.9 (±10.5)</td>
<td>36% reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Time to Extubation [Hours (IQR)]</td>
<td>3 hours (2 - 4 hrs 30m)</td>
<td>5 hr 48 min (5 - 8 hrs 18m)</td>
<td>50% reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of anti-emetic</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29% reduction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

From our results PIFB in our unit appears to be a safe, opioid reducing intervention, reduces time to extubation with similar patient satisfaction scores. Furthermore, our results show that a regional technique appears more beneficial in the over 60 population.
The awake regional list in a district general hospital. An audit of clinical outcomes and patient satisfaction

Huw Wilkins, Ben Siggers, Ross Cruickshank
Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust, Salisbury, United Kingdom

Abstract

Introduction
The clinical superiority of regional techniques over opioid alone has long been established [1], however there is less published work on the patient experience of regional anaesthesia. Following the recent development of an awake regional plastic surgery list we were interested in evaluating both the clinical outcomes when compared with general anaesthesia and also gauging the patient experience.

Methods

Ethical approval was not required.

**Patient experience:** we conducted prospective postoperative interviews with patients who had recently undergone awake upper limb plastic surgery (Figure 1). We aimed to achieve an audit standard of 90-100%.

**Clinical Outcomes:** we retrospectively evaluated clinical notes of patients who had upper limb orthopaedic awake surgery against matched operations under general anaesthesia for comparison. Exclusion criteria included <18 years, LA only and where the documentation was inadequate. The outcomes measured are found in figure 2.

Results

**Patient Experience**
25 patients contacted
Clinical Outcomes
Sixty-one notes requested, 23 were excluded, leaving 13 general anaesthetic and 25 regional only. n=38
Discussion

We have demonstrated that a service that was developed with zero additional cost has improved clinical outcomes when compared to general anaesthesia and provides an excellent patient experience overall. It is common for patients’ to have fixed pre-conceptions of awake surgery and frequently education and expectation management is required. We aim to use this work to reassure future patients and inform them of the benefits of regional only techniques.
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Becoming future ready - devising training outcomes in regional anaesthesia for postgraduate trainees based on a survey of current practices.

Aarati Bapat, Joseph Lipton, Amit Pawa
Guy's and St. Thomas NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom

Abstract

Introduction: In accordance with GMC guidance[1], the RCoA must define specialty specific training outcomes in regional anaesthesia for CCT holders. Our department lead was approached by the RCoA to recommend ways in which training can enable one to perform blocks independently. As a baseline, we surveyed senior trainees to explore current exposure and confidence in regional techniques.

Methods: A 22 question survey was emailed to ST5-7 trainees across UK. The level of training in regional anaesthesia (intermediate/higher/advanced) was established, along with the number of blocks performed across a range of thoraco-abdominal, upper and lower limb blocks. Analysis focussed on what influenced the confidence to practise independently at different stages of training.

Results: 149 results analysed, with fair distribution amongst regions and training grades. Tables 1 & 2 show the data-distribution for higher and advanced trainees respectively. 94% of advanced trainees feel confident using awake blocks independently while only 44% of higher trainees do. The higher trainees have good exposure to all types of blocks performed (eg. 5 variations of upper limb), but lower numbers of each block (average <10) as compared to advanced trainees who gain confidence by more numbers (average >10) of all blocks.

Discussion: We recommended that higher trainees achieve expertise in any 2 blocks in each anatomical region based on local best practice. We propose that higher training be focussed on mastering smaller number of essential regional techniques, so that all UK CCT holders possess skills sufficient for confident autonomous practice of regional anaesthesia.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BLOCKS</th>
<th>M0S</th>
<th>1-5</th>
<th>6-10</th>
<th>11-20</th>
<th>21-30</th>
<th>&gt;30</th>
<th>DIRECT</th>
<th>INDIRECT</th>
<th>DISTANT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper Limb/Brachial Plexus Blocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interscalene</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supraclavicular</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infraclavicular</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axilla</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral Nerve Block</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Limb/Lumbosacral Plexus Blocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fascia Iliaca</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral Nerve</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Popliteal Aquatic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adductor Canal</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ankle Block</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trunk/Pariavertebral nerve blocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic/Pariavertebral</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serratus Plane</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecs</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadratus Lumborum</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans. Abdominus Plane</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectus Sheath</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Confidence in Independent Practice**

- Would perform Aniave Regional Technique for Hand Surgery: 44%
- Would perform Regional and General Anaesthesia at 3rd year: 81%
- Would not be comfortable performing a Regional Block alone: 19%

**Table 1:** Percentage distribution of skill and confidence in performing upper limb, lower limb, and trunk blocks in trainees who have completed higher training module (59 trainees out of 100).
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Abstract

Introduction

We present a case of markedly prolonged spinal anaesthesia in a patient undergoing emergency caesarean section.

Case

The fit and well 32-year-old lady had previously undergone two caesarean sections under spinal anaesthesia without incident. A 25G Sprotte needle was used to administer 2.4ml 0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine and 300mcg Diamorphine at L4-5. A sensory block from T3-S3 on the left and T4-S3 on the right was achieved, with a Bromage score of 3. The operation was completed uneventfully.

At 8 hours post spinal she had a sensory block to T12 and a profound motor block, reflexes were normal and plantars downgoing. After 11 hours there was minimal improvement in neurology; an MRI lumbosacral spine was reassuringly normal. At 15 hours power had improved to a bromage score of 2, and sensory block to T10. At 29 hours there remained a mild reduction in power of hip flexion, and by 33 hours she had made a full recovery.

Discussion
A literature review identified eight similar reports of prolonged neurological deficit after spinal anaesthetic followed by complete resolution, with no clear cause identified[1-8]. This rare phenomenon appears to occur regardless of needle type and local anaesthetic.

Whilst it is encouraging patients with concerning neurology can make a full recovery, persistent neurology is a ‘red flag’ sign of several severe neurological complications. Delay in diagnosis and treatment is strongly linked with progression to permanent deficit. It is essential that hospitals have protocols to rapidly identify potentially reversible underlying pathology.
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Abstract

Introduction: Opiates are extensively used in breast cancer surgery. The use of opiates may drive tumorigenesis and metastasis by promoting angiogenesis, suppressing immunity and facilitating proliferation (1,2). Regional anaesthetic blocks pre-operatively may provide sufficient analgesia to decrease opiate use (3) and persistent post-surgical pain (4). For full area coverage in breast surgery, pecs I and II, and serratus anterior blocks should suffice (5,6).

Methods: In this retrospective notes review we examined patients who had surgery under general anaesthesia with either surgical local anaesthetic infiltration (Infiltration) or pecs I+II and serratus anterior blocks (Blocks). All patients presenting for breast cancer surgery between August 2016 and October 2018 in Ninewells Hospital were considered, with those undergoing pre-op guidewire insertion or immediate plastics reconstruction excluded. Intra-operative and post-operative analgesic requirements were measured. All opiates used were converted to oral morphine equivalent (OME) for analysis. Caldicott approval was obtained.

Results: There were 42 patients included. Preliminary results are recorded in table.
Discussion: Our preliminary results suggest regional anaesthesia is not inferior to surgical infiltration. The block group required less peri-operative opiates and adjuvants, however they use more opioids on the ward. This may partially be explained by differing prescribing preferences between weak opioids and NSAIDs. We do not have data on the incidence of pre-existing or chronic pain indicated by pre-operative use of opioids.

In conclusion, the use of regional blocks may reduce the need for peri-operative opioids, potentially lowering the risk of metastasis, recurrence and persistent post-surgical pain.
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Abstract

Introduction

In the context of military trauma, Continuous Peripheral Nerve Blockade (CPNB) provides effective analgesia during prolonged casualty evacuation and where complex injury patterns require repeated surgical procedures.

During recent operations in Iraq and Afghanistan CPNB resulted in improved pain outcomes without increased infection\([i, iii, iv, v]\). Following this, Defence Medical Services recommend CPNB as part of a multimodal analgesia strategy within deployed hospital care\([v]\).

Methods

An online survey using RedCAP was distributed to consultant and trainee anaesthetists in all locations with defence trainees; this remained open for one month in July 2018. We examined exposure to CPNB and confidence to perform relevant regional techniques as well as exploring attitudes to CPNB risks and benefits.

Results

We received 190 replies, including responses from 10 Major Trauma Centres. 149/190 respondents (78.4%) advocated the use of CPNB in trauma. Despite this, only 10.5% surveyed regularly placed CPNB catheters, with most rarely or never using CPNB (58.2%).

Discussion
Lack of infrastructure and concerns regarding infection risk and failure to diagnose compartment syndrome remain barriers to widespread uptake of CPNB. This suggests that evidence refuting these issues are not widely known or accepted.

Military consultants appeared more confident in performing CPNB when compared to Civilian consultants, possibly suggesting operational exposure or training. However the problem of maintaining currency in this advanced skill needs to be addressed. It may be that pre-deployment training/simulation can provide the answer.
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Awake Ankle Surgery Under Ultrasound Guided Ankle Block: Patient Perspective
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Abstract

Introduction

Elective foot surgery is often conducted in the day-case setting and ultrasound-guided ankle block can facilitate anaesthesia, analgesia and early discharge. However, information about patient understanding of nerve blocks and awake surgery is limited. We aimed to understand patients’ expectations and experience of undergoing awake surgery under ankle block.

Methods

Local clinical governance team confirmed ethical approval was not required. Oral and written information was given before obtaining informed consent from 23 patients undergoing foot procedures. An experienced anaesthetist performed the ultrasound-guided ankle blocks using 0.75% ropivacaine. Demographic data was collected. Patients were telephoned 48 hours later and interviewed using a semi-structured questionnaire.

Results

20 patients (87%) received sedation with titrated doses of intravenous midazolam. 3 patients (13%) required intra-operative supplementation with local anaesthetic infiltration by the surgeon. 21 (91%) patients were day cases. 5 patients (22%) reported discomfort with block insertion (descriptors in figure). Intra-operative experience was positive for the majority of patients (87%). Block duration was variable; most (78%) felt pain on block regression was acceptable but 5 (22%) patients experienced difficulty with pain management. Patients appreciated avoiding GA: “no nausea”, “not woozy.” Some reported pre-operative apprehension. However, all were satisfied with the pre-operative information and said they would have the same again.

Discussion

A predominantly positive peri-operative patient experience is encouraging. Pain management on block regression can be challenging. Awake surgery still represents a source of pre-operative anxiety, and further pre-operative education is a potential solution.
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Abstract

Introduction

Local anaesthetics (LA) are frequently used amongst surgical and anaesthetic junior doctors. It is therefore imperative for both groups to be familiar with the safe use of LA and management of toxicity. Our local cluster of hospitals (SingHealth, Singapore) adopts doses as published by El-Boghdady et al¹.

A prior study² by Royal College of Surgeons England assessed surgical and anaesthetist trainees’ knowledge of LA use and toxicity. Our project investigates this amongst a similar target demographic in SingHealth.

Methods

103 surgical junior doctors across 3 tertiary SingHealth hospitals, of varying subspecialties and grades, were approached to complete a questionnaire designed by the team. The surveys were completed under direct supervision to prevent respondents from verifying their answers.

Results

83% (85/103) of respondents reported at least weekly use of LA. 63% (65/103) and 33% (29/89) respectively were able to identify the correct maximum safe doses for lignocaine and bupivacaine. 41% (42/103) correctly identified toxicity treatment. 78% (80/103) recognised at least 2 out of 3, and 13% (14/103) recognised all 3 accurate options for signs of toxicity.
Discussion

Significant knowledge gaps were identified amongst the cohort regarding LA use and management of LA toxicity. There is a trend suggesting that the more experienced surgical trainees are more familiar with LA use. Guidance of safe doses can be provided through implementation of simple visual tools in relevant settings, or enhanced education such as simulation-based training targeting LA use and toxicity.

Please direct any correspondence to Dr Singh PA (singh.prit.anand@singhealth.com.sg)
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